

Hospice UK Conference 2022: Abstract scoring template

All abstracts are assessed and scored under the following headings

Reviewer name	Abstract title		
Is this: Completed Work () Work in progress () You can add further thoughts/notes you wish to highlight to accompany your score in the 'Additional Comment' section below.			
Is the work: Audit [A], Quality Improvement [QI], Research [R] or Unclear / Uncertain / Other [U] (please state). See foot of page 2 for brief descriptions of these study types.			
References included (Yes or No)			
Section 1: Abstract content - score each section below Not at all described = 0 Not much described = 1 Well described = 2 Very well described = 3			
Background E.g. what is the rationale or gap in knowledge/practice that the work is based upon?			
Aim(s) E.g. what does the work/project aim to achieve and for whom?			
Methods E.g. what are/were the methods used to deliver or evaluate the work / project?			
Results E.g. what are/were the anticipated results and how will they make a difference? Note, for Work in progress abstracts there may be no results to report in which case, score 0			
Conclusions E.g. do the conclusions and recommendations seem logical from the completed or anticipated results? Note; if work is still in progress there may be no conclusion if so, score zero and make a note in the Additional Comment section.			
Section 2: How innovative or of interest do you think this abstract is? (max 3 points)			
Very = 3	Quite = 2	Not much = 1	Not at all = 0
Comment			
Total abstract score is Section 1 + Section 2 =			/18
Your recommendation (please indicate your 1st and 2nd choice)			
Oral presentation	Displayed poster	Decline	
Additional comment: Please use this section to note anything else that you feel is of importance, e.g. ○ Abstracts which you want to particularly recommend for oral presentation or poster - or to be declined.			

- Abstracts for which you want to explain more about why you have made a recommendation.
- Anything else you want to say about your submitted scores and comments.

Use this section also to note a score of zero for work in progress which does not have Results / Conclusions content.

Study descriptions

- Audit: A quality improvement cycle where care delivered is measured against a pre-determined standard.
- Quality Improvement: The systematic use of tools to improve safety, effectiveness, and experience of care.
- Research: A systematic approach that uses scientific methods, and results in the creation of new knowledge.
- Unclear/Uncertain/Other: For anything else which is not covered by the categories above.